SECRET

DDS&T-1812-68

2 May 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: SIPS Hearing with OPPB, DDS, and OCS - 2 May 1968

25X1A

	1. At 1000 hours on 2 May a hearing was called by OPPB in their	25X1A
0EV4A	Conference Room to discuss the SIPS program and other ADP items. Among	25X1A
25X1A	those in attendance were Messrs. Clarke, and	
25X1A	of OPPB; Messrs. Coffey, Bannerman, Bannerma	051/44
25X1A	and of DDS; Messrs. Briggs and of OCS; Messrs. and of DDS&T. made a few opening remarks to start the	25X1A
	and of DDS&T. made a few opening remarks to start the meeting, stating that the finished program memo on Automatic Data Pro-	25X1A
	cessing will go to the BOB in the fall along with the budget. Therefore,	
•	the meetings being held at this time are extensive in hopes that they will	L
	be inclusive enough to preclude meetings in the fall. Mr. Clarke then	
	stated that there are many problems inherent to the increase from the FY	
	69 base to the FY 70 estimates. OPPB is trying to present a fair and	
	objective evaluation of these increases to the DCI. Data Processing is	
	an important area in this evaluation because these costs have been on the	
	rise in recent years, and Mr. Helms must be given sufficient information to allow him to plan logically for future expenditures.	0EV4A
	to allow him to plan logically for future expenditures.	25X1A
	2. Mr. Clarke asked DDS if they had any opening remarks to make on	
25X1A	the SIPS program and responded with a fifty-five minute treat:	ise
20/(1/(on the subject. Although this portion of the meeting was interesting and	
	informational, it had little or no bearing on DDS&T and it is felt not	
	essential to report on it in detail. After finished his pape	
	a lengthy discussion followed on many of the subjects that he had covered	•
	including the need for record storage space, now and in the future, regular	
25X1A	tions control, and information processing. A point of interest in this latter area occurred when questioned the personnel needs for	25X1A
25/1/	the SIPS program and how OCS would tie into this requirement.	٦
	stated that once the SIPS program was implemented, many of the people cur-	-
٠	rently detailed to DDS to develop this system would return to their compo	
	nents. This is scheduled to occur in FY 71. These people will then become	me
	the knowledgeable operators of the SIPS program within their office of	
	assignment. Mr. Briggs added that a number of OCS people who have been	
	working with the SIPS program will have to move to the offices which they	2
	have learned to service because of the capability that they have develope	a.

endur 1 Excluded from automatic deungrading and For Approximal For

Approved For Release 2002/08/12 : 😘 BP 11B00529R000100160019

3. In discussing the Logistics aspects of the SIPS program, Mr. Clarke

asked if the Logistics Reporting and Contract Information Systems have been or are being unified. Mr. Briggs stated that there is a great deal of

difference between the DDS&T CIS and the Logistics system but that they (OCS)

DDS&T-1812-68 Pg 2

SUBJECT: SIPS Hearing with OPPB, DDS, and OCS - 2 May 1968

25X1A [are currently building central data bases which will be highly important to everyone concerned and will be available to many data terminal accesses. Mr. Bannerman commented that although OCS was originated to be the central ADP facility for the Agency, the current set-up does not always go along these lines. It is his feeling that all data processing eventually should be brought together in OCS; however, in the meantime, we cannot ignore the needs of ADP customers. Mr. Clarke stated that there are many instances of processing centralization in the Agency and that Mr. Duckett is now the coordinator of Agency RD&E. With this in mind it would seem quite logical to have a central CIS system for RD&E and Logisticsadded th25X1 the CIS system could be used for Logistics with some alteration and25X1 stated that there are no current intentions in SIPS to merge Logistics and CIS but that they could incorporate all of the S&T requirements into the SIPS program and he quoted as saying that when thi25X1 is done, S&T can abandon the CIS.
25X1A	4. In the balance of the discussion of the SIPS program in DDS, there were relatively few items discussed that concerned DDS&T. At one point Mr. Briggs pointed out that the entire Division in which his SIPS people are employed could be used and will probably be involved at one time or another in SIPS work. He followed this by spelling out the equipment now in use on SIPS and closed by stating that SIPS work eventually will employ 360/65 and 360/20 computers when the job is fully integrated. While discussing the personnel requirements of SIPS, asked what part of the seventy-
25X1A	eight people needed for SIPS is an OCS input. stated that there are currently eight people from OCS working on SIPS, that there will be six in June, twelve by the end of the planning period (in September), and even-
	tually other programmers will be required
	Agency is using on SIPS as opposed to Air Force management of some of their programs, stated that DDS looks to OCS for support in the SIPS program and that they do not want a computer system in DDS for this purpose. Mr. Briggs commented that OCS has programmed the back up to do this job and that there is no need for concern over the build up that it will require.
25X1A	5. After a great deal more discussion of the DDS aspects of the SIPS program, the meeting finally turned to topics concerning OCS. and agreed that most of the questions formerly presented to OCS on this subject had been covered in the earlier discussion, therefore, these questions could be handled on an exception basis.

Approved For Release 2002/08/12 : CIA-RDP71B00529R000100160019-7

SECRET

DDS&T-1812-68 Pg 3

25X1A

SUBJECT: SIPS Hearing with OPPB, DDS, and OCS - 2 May 1968

6. In discussing the Personnel Reports System, asked for further explanation of question 1-B which concerns the number of personnel reports that are being discontinued during FY 68. Mr. Briggs stated that six personnel reports were discontinued in the period from November 1966 to November 1967. The 400 reports mentioned in the OPPB question are recurring reports and the increase which is of concern to OPPB is quite real.

25X1A 25X1A

- 7. The was the next item of discussion. Mr. Briggs stated that the people enumerated in this project in the program are active in more than just _____ They are spread throughout the OCS effort for Office of Security, including some SIPS work.
- 8. The next question concerned the Training Records System. In answer to question 1-A, the relationship of this activity to SIPS, Mr. Briggs stated that training records may be integrated into SIPS without a great deal of alteration. OCS has looked into the potentiality of inserting remote batches into the system and they have found this to be impractical. They are currently considering alternative approaches but at this date, they do not know exactly how this will work out.
- 9. The next area of discussion was the Logistics Support System. Mr. Briggs stated that this area will be the first to be implemented in the SIPS program and he feels that he can concentrate more people on this work than shown in the program, if it is essential.
- 10. As a final item Mr. Briggs discussed the implementation of the SIPS program and its impact on OCS. He stated that many of the costs that he has quoted on SIPS equipment have been estimated by necessity since full knowledge of these requirements is not available at this time. Mr. Briggs said that his office is currently using a 360/20 computer full-time in the SIPS program plus part-time use on a 301 and a 501 and additional usage of some other systems including the SPECTRA 70/45. He closed by stating that eventually OCS anticipates using five 360 systems working full or part-time on SIPS.

25X1A

Plans and Programs Branch O/DDS&T

Attachments:

- 1 SIPS Hearing (Topics for OCS)
- 2 Questions for Support Services Staff Hearing

DDS&T-1812-68

SUBJECT: SIPS Hearing with OPPB, DDS, and OCS - 2 May 1968

Distribution:

1 - Compt/DDS&T w/atts

. 1 - P&P Br w/atts 2 - DDS&T Registry wo/atts

9096 (6 May 68) 25X1A O/DD/S&T/Compt/P&P Br/

ATI

Attachment #1 to DDS&T-1812-68 Pg 1

SIPS Hearing
2 May, 1000
Topics for OCS

Management Applications Element

Personnel Reports System

- 1. Over 400 different kinds of reports will be provided to OP during FY 68. This is a net increase of 150 kinds of reports furnished to OP, FY 68 over FY 67.
 - a. These seem high. Has OP been requested to review requirements for reports and revalidate requirements? Is it being done?
 - b. How many kinds of reports are being discontinued during the period?
- 1. Maintenance of the system, ad hoc requirements, etc. requires

five programmers for the in-house system through FY 71.

a. Isn't this a rather large number of programmers to maintain an operational system of this sort? (The same number got the system going in FY 67.)

Training Records System

- 1. OCS plans to provide remote terminal access to training files.
 - a. Does SIPS relate directly or indirectly to this activity?
- b. In view of increased problems and costs associated with remote access, discuss the requirements for this capability and the anticipated benefits.

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/08/12: CIA-RDP71B00529R000 00160019-7
Attachment #1 to
DDS&T-1812-68
Pg 2

c. With the demand for ADP resources in the foresceable future, does this project have priority to justify adding another programmer in FY 71?

Financial Accounting System

1. To what extent will this System become a part of the SIPS system?

Logistics Support System

- 1. Plans are to convert the system to a direct access mode using a terminal network.
 - a. Do these plans relate to converting the present system or is this the SIPS plan?
 - b. How many Log Support System programmers plus SIPS programmers will be involved in testing SIPS design?
 - 2. EPIC is part of the Log Support System.
 - a. The Govt. Printing Office found that input costs are much higher for an EPIC type activity and the pay off comes only in paper savings if the job would otherwise involve large volume printing from conventional computer print outs. Does the Agency use EPIC chiefly for this purpose? What have been the benefits of EPIC? The Costs?
 - b. How many programmers are now working on EPIC and how many of the four additional for Log Systems in FY 70 are for EPIC?

SIPS

- 1. Target date for implementation of the system is still FY 70.
 - a. Is this for all or part of SIPS?
 - b. If not all, what part and when all?

Attachment #1 to DDS&T-1812-68

Pg 3

- 2. SIPS is having a direct impact on programmer personnel requirements for all ADP support to the Support Directorate, including the Personnel Reports System, Training Records System, Financial Accounting System and Logistic Support System.
 - a. Provide a resource chart which shows the change in the allocation of programmers from the present separate systems to the SIPS operational system.
- 3. SIPS will have a direct impact on ADP equipment configuration, systems, operations, costs, etc. To date there has been no estimate of what this will amount to.
 - a. What is the best ballpark estimate that OCS can make on SIPS hardware costs --- in whatever stages this can be most clearly shown?

ATT

SECRET

Attachment #2 to DDS&T-1812-68 Pg 1

Questions for Support Services Staff Hearing 2 May, 1000

Human

Please describe in detail your present status, projected plans, and alternatives for this portion of SIPS. We are specifically interested in:

- SSS resources required (funds and positions) to implement this system. Please explain specifically the number of personnel required on the SSS T/O, personnel to be detailed from the Office of Computer Services, and detailees from elsewhere in the Support Directorate.
- 2. Time phasing toward implementation.
- 3. What will this subsystem buy the Agency in terms of economy, time, manpower savings and decision making? Suppose this work is not done -- what is the estimated loss of capabilities? What factors force this approach on us verus other alternatives?
- 4. Please review the substantive requirements supporting this subsystem approach. Explain how the requirements influence the priority of your planned implementation.
- Agency components (including OPPB) regarding manpower savings through the abolishment of older, slower systems and techniques. Please describe anticipated cost of services which will be generated by SIPS, e.g., communication services.

Approved For Release 2002/08/12 : CIA-RDP71B00529R000100160019-7

- 6. Impact on OCS regarding computer support, i.e., systems analysis, programming, and ADP equipment.
- 7. Phase in to MIS.
- 8. Trade-off between SIPS and the other Support Offices in terms of transferring office positions to SSS.

Financial

Please describe in detail your present status, projected plans, and alternatives for this portion of SIPS. We are specifically interested in:

- 1. SSS resources required (funds and positions) to implement this system. Please explain specifically the number of personnel required on the SSS T/O, personnel to be detailed from the Office of Computer Services, and detailees from elsewhere in the Support Directorate.
- 2. Time phasing toward implementation.
- 3. What will this subsystem buy the Agency in terms of economy, time, manpower savings and decision making? Suppose this work is not done -- what is the estimated loss of capabilities? What factors force this approach on us versus other alternatives?
- 4. Please review the substantive requirements supporting this subsystem approach. Explain how the requirements influence the priority of your planned implementation.
- 5. Impact on the Office of Finance, other DD/S Offices, and other Agency components (including OPPB) regarding manpower savings through the abolishment of older, slower systems and techniques. Please describe anticipated cost of services which will be generated by SIPS, e.g., communication services.
- 6. Impact on OCS regarding computer support, i.e., systems analysis, programming, and ADP equipment.

Approved For Release 2002/08/12 : CTA-RDP71B00529R000100160019-7

7. Phase in to MIS.

- Pg 3
- 8. Trade-off between SIPS and the other Support Offices in terms of transferring office positions to SSS.

Materiel

Please describe in detail your present status, projected plans, and alternatives for this portion of SIPS. We are specifically interested in:

- 1. SSS rescurces required (funds and positions) to implement this system. Please explain specifically the number of personnel required on the SSS T/O, personnel to be detailed from the Office of Computer Services, and detailees from elsewhere in the Support Directorate.
- 2. Time phasing toward implementation.
- 3. What will this subsystem buy the Agency in terms of economy, time,
 manpower savings and decision making? Suppose this work is not done -what is the estimated loss of capabilities? What factors force this
 approach on us versus other alternatives?
- 4. Please review the substantive requirements supporting this subsystem approach. Explain how the requirements influence the priority of your planned implementation.
- Agency components (including OPPB) regarding manpower savings through the abolishment of older, slower systems and techniques. Please describe anticipated cost of services which will be generated by SIPS, e.g., communication services.
- 6. Impact on OCS regarding computer support, i.e., system analysis, programming, and ADP equipment.
- 7. Phase in to MIS.
- 8. Trade-off between SIPS and the other Support Offices in terms of transferring office positions to SSS.

Approved For Release 2002/08/12 : CIA-RDP71B00529R000100160019-7

SECRET

SECRET

Attachment #2 to DDS&T-1812-68 Pg 4

General Questions

- 1. In reviewing your stated resource requirements no indication is given given regarding ultimate disposition of current "on loan" personnel provided to the SIPS effort. Please explain vis-a-vis 10 position increase in 1970. For the increases in 1971 through 1974. What will the increasing number of people assigned to information processing be producing?
- 2. Please provide detailed explanation of plans to "extend SIPS in the Support Directorate and to other Agency components".
- 3. Please describe the coordination mechanism that exists between SSS and other Support Offices regarding SIPS/MIS planning. Also describe coordination with other Agency components. If coordination is as close as SSS indicates, why are the other DD/S programs practically devoid of any mention of SIPS implementation in the time frame mentioned in the program submission.
- 4. In paragraph 2 of your program transmittal memorandum you stated a project outline is being developed that "will be useful in deciding how to budget for SIPS related cost". You also stated that a finished proposal was expected by 1 March 1968. Please provide a copy prior to your 24 April OPPB hearing.
- has been developed for Logistics. How does this differ from the DD/S&T Contract Information System (CIS)? Are there plans to merge these two systems? Your submission states that SIPS initiated systems will allow other components to abandon their own systems "if they choose". Please explain this statement about 2502/08PP2 CIATEDP 1505297605 10615001917 for

Approved For Release 2002/08/12: CIA-RDP71B0052 P000100160019-7 Attachment #2 to

DDS&T-1812-68

SECRET ..

duplication and overlap between Agency components in this area.

- 6. Please cite other CONIF/CIS type situations existing in the Agency. We are particularly interested in your appraisal and comments on NPIC activities.
- 7. Please breakout the "78 people assigned to SIPS by 1968". Relate to your position request for 1970.
- 8. Your submission recognizes "the dynamic environment" in which your system will operate but does not state how you will maintain necessary flexibility/adaptability to this changing environment. Please explain.
- 9. Please discuss the requirement for management definition of an MIS. When do you expect to receive this definition? How can terminal SIPS and preliminary MIS planning proceed without this definition? Objective II of your program submission refers to a Support Directorate MIS. Should this objective relate to an Agency wide MIS rather than being restricted to the Support Directorate?
- 10. You state that the people working on SIPS understand the objective for a MIS, yet you state that MIS definitions and requirements are lacking.

 Please explain.
- ll. The risk you cite facing the MIS seems to us to be of significant seriousness to warrant immediate and concentrated efforts to avoid. Please explain what you are doing to involve management in the "participation of requirement formulation". Your submission complains regarding feedback from management. If MIS is to be the ultimate goal of the Agency, shouldn't SIPS design and MIS definition be coordinated in order to reduce the risks that "SIPS data base may not contain the data elements required for the management system"? Will MIS in fact be restricted to parameters of SIPS?

Approved For Release 2002/08/12 : CIA-RDP71B00529R000100160019-7

- 5-

SECRET

DDS&T-1812-68 Pg 6

- 12. Do we assume that a concerted effort is going to be made in SIPS to provide a <u>fundamental</u> and modular data base and processing system which is the only means of responding to the diverse and usually <u>ad hoc</u> requests which characterize many management information requests?
- 13. You state that it may be "premature" to discuss alternatives toward MIS. While this may in part be true, we will never get this argument past the BOB. Please cite alternatives as you now view them recognizing that they may be subject to considerable change later on. One alternative would be contractual assistance and development of SIPS/MIS. Has this alternative been thoroughly explored?
- 14. How many people will be devoted to the analysis and design of the MIS as you envisage it? How will this process proceed? What are the arguments in favor/against locating MIS design with SSS?
- 15. Please discuss your criteria for arriving at the input-output terminal device recommendation contained in your submission.
- 16. The Air Force Consultant Team SIPS Review Board classifies the SIPS effort as being in the "higher risk category due to the limited support and participation of expert ADP personnel ..., the lengthy time period effected between the statement of requirements by the functional area and the delivery of finished application programs, and the plan to develop and debug a large complex system on computer hardware which is heavily committed to a data production effort". Please comment.

SECRET

Attachment #2 to DDS&T-1812-68 Pg 7

- 17. In Section II (d) you discuss the need for a central staff to avoid "systems will become static and outmoded as user interests take priority over systems interest". This raises several questions:
 - a. Are system interests different from user interests?
 - b. Which in your opinion should be decisive? If they are joint, delineate the area of primary "system" influence and the area of primary "user" influence, and indicate what part of your costs in time and money are associated with each.

Regulations Control

Please explain the \$8,000 requested increase in 1970 and the subsequent sustained higher level of funding through 1974. Please describe your plans to modernize and improve the Agency regulatory system.

Record Administration

1.	While some alternatives to increased staffing in this area were spoken of
	in your submission, the alternative to dropping or trading off lower
	priority programs was not. Please provide additional justification for
	the 5 position increase request together with lower priority or trade-off
	options considered.
25X1A 2.	Your request for the construction item would seem to

records alleviate this problem? Can GSA provide the needed storage space?

leave the ExDir and the DCI with no option but to proceed. What if they

opt not to? Please provide alternatives, e.g., would a purge of current

3. Describe the impact of computer and microminiature technology on the Agency's records program.

Approved For Release 2002/08/12: CIA-RDP71B00529R000100160019-7

CTO T